

# REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Construction of a New Primary School at Mix Settlement, Brakwater in the Khomas Region–Phase 1 on Behalf of Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture (MoEAC)
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/OAB/CPBN-04/2021
3.	Date of Submission of Report	10 February 2022
4.	Contract Number	W/OAB/CPBN-04/2021
5.	Scope of Contract	Construction of a New Primary School at Mix Settlement, Brakwater in the Khomas Region– Phase 1 on Behalf of Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture (MoEAC)
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$65 000 000.00 (Inc. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open Advertised Bidding (Works)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	11 June 2021
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	31 August 2021
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	31 August 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Twenty-six (26)

# 13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

	თ	4	ω	20				er No.	bbi8	
Maperes Investment CC	ETN Technical Services CC	Kambwa Construction (Pty) Ltd	Ongoma Trading Enterprises CC	Valomek Civil CC		Hangala Construction (Pty) Ltd		Bidder(s)	Name of the	
Not responsive &	Not responsive & disqualified	Not responsive & disqualified	Not responsive & disqualified	Not responsive & disqualified		Not responsive & disqualified		Preliminary Examination	Stage 1	
Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		Not Considered		Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 2	Resp
Not	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		Not Considered		Legal Admissibility	Stage 3	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage
Not	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		Not Considered		Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 4	er evaluation st
Not	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		Not Considered		Evaluation Score	Stage 5	lage
Not	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		Not Considered		Financial Requirement	Stage 6	
<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>	The Bidder did not initial Page 68 of the standard bidding document as per ITB Clause 21.2.	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Bidder did not initial "Form construction equipment" as per ITB Clause 21.2</li> <li>The Bidder did not initial one copy of Certificate of vehicle registration from NATIS as per ITB Clause 21.2</li> </ul>	The bidder did not initial six pages of the attached copies under plant and equipment as per ITB Clause 21.2	Reasons why Bidder was not responsive		

			Resp	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage	er evaluation st	age		
Bidd	Name of the	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
ler No.	Bidder(s)	Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Financial Requirement	Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
00	Neu Olulya Trading CC JV NGC Investment CC	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>
9	lpalak Construction CC	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul> <li>The bidder did not initial nine pages of attachment under Annexure 3 as per ITB Clause 21.2</li> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>
10	Oluzizi Engineering & Construction (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>
=======================================	Capital Technical Services CC	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>
16	Sanli Construction CC JV John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul> <li>The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2</li> </ul>
17	August 26 Logistics (Pty) LTD	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Nat Considered	Not Considered	<ul> <li>The bidder did not initial page 55 of the bidding document as per ITB Clause 21.2.</li> <li>The bidder did not initial the reference letter from Pupkewitz as per ITB Clause 21.2</li> <li>The bidder did not initial six pages of "Form-similar construction experience" as per ITB Clause 21.2</li> <li>The bidder did not initial the Award letter from</li> </ul>

The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2	•	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not responsive & disqualified	lbuild Supplies (Pty) Ltd	26
The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2	•	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not responsive & disqualified	NBT Quality Services CC JV Onghushe Investment CC	24
The bidder did not initial the entire company profile/ brochure that consisted of twenty-two (22) pages as per ITB Clause 21.2.  The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2	• •	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not responsive & disqualified	Afrideca Construction Namibia (Pty) Ltd	22
The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2	•	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not responsive & disqualified	Nami Prefabricated Housing CC	9
Puturi Construction CC who is party to the JV is not signatory to the Bid Securing Declaration nor to the Letter of Bid and the written Undertaking as required for in ITB 20.8 of the bidding document. The Bidder did not initial reference letter for materials from the company "Buco" as per ITB Clause 21.2  The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2	• • •	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not responsive & disqualified	Puturi Construction CC JV Mafikeng Investment CC	18
The bidder did not respond in writing to the request for extension of the bid validity issued on 11 February 2022 in accordance with ITB 19.2									
Reasons why Bidder was not responsive	#	Financial Requirement	Evaluation Score	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Legal Admissibility	Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Bidder(s)	ler No.
		Stage 6	Stage 5	Stage 4	Stage 3	Stage 2	Stage 1	Namo	Bidd
			age	er evaluation st	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage	Resp			

	Ed S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
	Name of the Bidder(s)  Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty)Ltd
	Stage 1 Preliminary Examination Responsive
	Stage 2 Eligibility Evaluation Responsive
	Stage 3 Stage 4  Legal Legal Admissibility Not responsive & disqualified
	Stage 4 Technical Evaluation Criteria  Not responsive & disqualified
	Stage 5 Evaluation Score Not Considered
	Stage 6 Financial Requirement Not Considered
The hidder did not submit a list of similar work	Reasons why Bidder was not responsive  The bidder did not submit a list of similar works accumulated to N\$ 40 million nor did the bidder submit a project valued at N\$ 10 million as required in Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4, item 2. They indicated the construction of Rundu Abattoir project worth, N\$113,000.00 and resurfacing programme Otjiwarongo worth N\$321,000.00 in 2018. The highest project the bidder ever did was a N\$ 4 million road construction project and was not considered as similar works because it is not a building construction.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)  Namibia Constructions (Pty) Ltd ID Building Contractors CC	Stage 1  Preliminary Examination  Responsive	Eligibility Evaluation Responsive	Stage 3 Stage 4  Legal Technical Evaluation Criteria  IVE Responsive Responsi	Stage 4 Technical Evaluation Criteria Responsive	Evaluation Score Responsive	Financial Requirement Responsive	ge 6 ncial ement nsive	Reasons why Bidder was not responsive  million. The bidder did not also qualify for the option of having at least 50% Liquid Assets and 50% Credit Facilities as required in criteria 1.5.1 of the bidding document.  Bidder not disqualified  Bidder not disqualified
Oi	Namibia Constructions (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Resp	Responsive	•
10	ID Building Contractors CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Res	Responsive	•
14	Penatu Trading CC JV Florida Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Respo	Responsive	onsive   • Bidder not disqualified
21	Ndakalimwe Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	nsive	nsive    Bidder not disqualified
23	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	nsive	nsive • Bidder not disqualified
25	Shatty	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	nsive	nsive • Bidder not disqualified

## 14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), the Evaluation Committee has only examined and verified the four (4) lowest priced bids out of six (6) bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Bid Price After Corrections (N\$)	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$	Ranking
23	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	59,750,634.52	56,647,200.13	None	1
25	Shatty Construction CC	57,290,914.45	57,287,867.94	None	2
12	ID Building Contractors CC	60,785,371.84	60,923,882.44	None	3
21	Ndakalimwe Investment CC	61,991,726.35	61,981,285.50	None	4
14	Penatu Trading CC  JV Florida Trading CC	75,984,685.16	Not verified	None	5
5	Namibia Construction (Pty) Ltd	80,372,933.76	Not verified	None	6

# 15. Best Evaluated Bid(s) Selected for Award of Contract: Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved the report in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder's Name	Address	Bid Price(s) N\$ Read-Out (including VAT)	Corrections of Errors (N\$)	Proposed Award Bid Price (N\$) (Including VAT)
James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	Unit 12 Brahman Park brahman Street, Northern Industrial	59,750,634.52	3,103,434.39	56,647,200.13

**Bid price**, **including VAT**: Fifty-Six Million Six Hundred Forty-Seven Thousand Two Hundred Namibia Dollars and Thirteen Cents (N\$56,647,200.13).

A. Ngavetene Chairperson



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PRIMARY SCHOOL AT MIX SETTLEMENT, BRAKWATER IN THE KHOMAS REGION—PHASE 1 ON BEHALF OF MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS AND CULTURE

# (PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/OAB/CPBN-04/2021.)

I/We	_hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Revised Executive Summary dated	and
undertake to immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN	as proof of
receipt.	
Name: Signature:	
Date:	