CPBN
CENTRAL PROCUREMENT BOARD OF NAMIBIA
Procuring with Integrity
+264 (61) 447 700 pr@cpb.org.na www.cpb.org.na P.O. Box 23650 Mandume Park, 1 Teinert Street, Windhoek, Namibia

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	**Name of Procurement**	Provision of Security and Cash-In-Transit Services for The University of Namibia Windhoek Main Campus, Neudamm Campus, Hage Geingob Campus, Khomasdal Campus, Southern Campus, Sam Nujoma Campus, Ogongo Campus, Oshakati Campus, Jose Eduardo Dos Santos, Hifikepunye Pohamba, Rundu Campus, Katima Mulilo and Tsumeb Center for a period of Thirty-Six (36) Months
2.	**CPBN Procurement Reference No**	NCS/ONB/CPBN–05/2019
3.	**Date of Submission of Report**	22 August 2022
4.	**Contract Number**	NCS/ONB/CPBN–05/2019
5.	**Scope of Contract**	General Conditions of Contract (GCC)
6.	**Estimated Cost:**	N$81,965,000.00 (Inc. VAT)
7.	**Funding Agency**	University of Namibia
8.	**Procurement Method Used**	Open National Bidding (NCS)
9.	**Date of Invitation of Bids**	17 September 2021
10.	**Closing Date of Submission of Bids**	22 October 2021
11.	**Date and Place of Opening of Bids**	22 October 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	**Number of Bids Received by Closing Date**	Forty-Four (44)

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
3	Onamangongwa Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The bidder submitted a Certificate of Code of Conduct and Certified ID's of the 5 security guards as requested in the bidding document. However, the names on the Certificate of Code of Conduct are not the same names as that on the certified copies of the IDs for the 5 security guards in accordance with ITB 13.1 (g), item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
4	Namibia People's Protection Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The bidder did not submit a Certificate of Registration as a Bona-fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL at all, In accordance with ITB 13.1 (g), item 3.8 on page 32 of the bidding document.
8	Zeni Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The bidder did not submit a certified copy of the Company Registration Certificate at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(f)(1), item 3.1. • The bidder did not submit a certified copy Good Standing Tax Certificate at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(f)(2) Item 3.2 • Bidder did not submit a certified copy of the Good Standing Social Security Certificate at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(f)(3) item 3.3. • Bidder did not submit Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(f)(4) item 3.4 • Bidder did not submit at all any Valid Fitness Certificate or lease

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									agreement / Title deed for any of the towns they are bidding for, in accordance with ITB 13.1(f), Item 3.7. on Page 32 of the bidding document. • The Bidders 'industry experience could not be determined because they did not submit reference letter in providing security services in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.11. • The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on pages 31 – 33 of the bidding documents
10	Tactical Security System CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The bidder did not submit any valid Fitness Certificate or Lease agreement /Title deed for any of the town they are bidding for, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.7 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The bidder did not submit Certificate of Registration as a Bona-fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.8 on page 32 of the bidding document. • Bidder did not provide a public Liability Insurance Cover letter or letter of intent for insurance cover worth N$2 million per lot, from any legally registered Financial Institution at all, in accordance

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									with ITB 13.1, item 3.9 on page 32 of the bidding document. • Bidder did not provide a declaration on bidder company letterhead that they will deploy guards with a minimum of two (2) years experience in providing security services, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.14 on page 33 of the bidding document.
14	Mayfield Protection Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document
15	Chippa Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
16	Madota Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder did not submit any valid Fitness Certificate or Lease agreement /Title deed for any of the town they are bidding for in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									3.7 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The bidder does not have any industry experience in providing security services. No reference letters were attached, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.11 on page 33 of the bidding document • The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards • No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document. • Bidder did not provide a declaration on bidder company letterhead that they will deploy guards with a minimum of two (2) years experience in providing security services, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.14 on page 33 of the bidding document.
17	Sharon Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder did not submit any valid Fitness Certificate or Lease agreement /Title deed for any of the towns they are bidding for, with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.7 • The bidder did not submit Certificate of Registration as a Bona-fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.8 on page 32 of the bidding document.

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									• The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: • Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted • No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
18	Nebby Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder did not provide public Liability Insurance Cover letter or letter of intent for insurance cover worth N$2 million per lot, from any legally registered Financial Institution at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.9 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The bidder submitted 1 reference letter with only 2 years of industry experience, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.11 on page 33 of the bidding document. • The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards • No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document. • Bidder did not provide a declaration on bidder company letterhead that they will deploy guards with minimum of two (2) years' experience in providing security services, in accordance

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.14 on page 33 of the bidding document.
19	Ludwig Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder did not provide public Liability Insurance Cover letter or letter of intent for insurance cover worth N$2 million per lot, from any legally registered Financial Institution at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.9 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The bidder did not submit any reference letters in providing security services at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.11 on page 33 of the bidding document. • The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
21	Auala Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder did not submit any valid Fitness Certificate or Lease agreement /Title deed for any of the towns they are bidding for, in accordance with ITB 13.9(g), item 3.7 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The bidder did not submit a Certificate of Registration as a Bona-fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.8 on page 32 of the bidding document.

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									• Bidder did not provide a public Liability Insurance Cover letter or letter of intent for insurance cover worth N$2 million per lot, from any legally registered Financial Institution at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.9 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document. • Bidder did not provide a declaration on bidder company letterhead that they will deploy guards with a minimum of two (2) years experience in providing security services, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.14 on page 33 of the bidding document.
22	Chief Nahole Security & Debt Collection services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The bidder did not submit a Certificate of Registration as a Bona-fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL at all, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.8 on page 32 of the bidding document.
23	Chief Nangolo Security Service CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder provided fitness certificates from Katima Mulilo Town Council and Rundu Town Council. The documents were unauthentic and proven not to be valid by the respective Town Councils, in

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									terms of ITB 3.2(ii) of the bidding document and Section 67(1)(a) of the PPA
24	Six Thousand Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder provided fitness certificates from Katima Mulilo Town Council and Rundu Town Council. The documents were unauthentic and proven not to be valid by the respective Town Councils, in terms of ITB 3.2(ii) of the bidding document and Section 67(1)(a) of the PPA.
27	Shimwe Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The bidder did not submit any valid Fitness Certificate or Lease agreement /Title deed for any of the towns they are bidding for, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g), item 3.7 on page 32 of the bidding document. • The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
40	Spark Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• Bidder did not provide a declaration on bidder company letterhead that they will deploy guards with a minimum of two (2) years of experience in providing security services.
41	CIS Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards. Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
									will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards No valid certified Namibian Identity documents, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
42	Omle Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The CVs and certified IDs for the 5 guards submitted do not match with the certificated code of conduct submitted, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
44	Mampi Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	• The Bidder did not submit for the five (5) different security guards: Vetting letter declaration on company letterhead that guards will be screened/vetted No Code of conduct for the 5 security guards valid certified Namibian Identity documents, in accordance with ITB 13.1(g) item 3.12 on page 33 of the bidding document.
2	Windhoek Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 4 (4.1), (4.4), and (4.5) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 61%.** **Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 5 (5.2), (5.3), and (5.6) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 51%.**
9	Degrande Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									evaluation in accordance with Section III 4 (4.1), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 36 %.**
13	SJ Family CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 4 (4.1), (4.6), and (4.7) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 52%** **Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 5 (5.1), (5.2), (5.4), (5.5), and (5.6) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 57%**
26	Kangaru Trading Express CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 4 (4.1), (4.3), (4.5), and (4.8) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 55 %.**
32	African King Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 4 (4.3), (4.5), (4.6), and (4.8) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 64 %.** **Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 5 (5.1), (5.3), (5.4), and (5.6) of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 43 %.**
33	Royal Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 4 (4.2), and (4.5), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 69 %.** **Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 5 (5.3), (5.4), and (5.6), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 50%.**
35	Tanhwe Security Services	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 4 (4.1), (4.3), (4.5), and (4.8), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 52 %.** **Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 5 (5.1), (5.3), (5.4), and (5.6), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 44 %.**
36	Tulikumo Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services**

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
									• The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 4 (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), and (4.6), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 32 %.** **Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 5 (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), and (5.6), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 26 %.**
37	Nkasa Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	**Security Services** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 4 (4.1), (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 61 %.**
39	Amutanga Trading Enterprises	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Responsive	Responsive	**Cash-In-Transit** • The bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 percent and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III: 5 (5.1), (5.3), and (5.6), of the bidding document. **Bidder scored 59%**
1	Rubicon Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
5	Sirka Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
6	Splash Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
7	Independent Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified

Bidder Number	Bidders Name	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							
		Stage 1			Stage 2		Stage 3	Stage 4	Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		Eligibility Evaluation	Preliminary Examination	Mandatory Documents	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Security Services	Technical Evaluation Criteria: Cash-In-Transit	Financial Requirements	Financial Evaluation	
11	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
12	Omambudu Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
20	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
25	Shikuvule Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
28	Kuomboka Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
29	Extra Defence Protection Services	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
30	Omeya Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
31	PIS Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
34	InterAfrica Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
38	Triple One Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
39	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
43	Bertha Security Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified

14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), the Evaluation Committee has examined and verified the bidders offers. Arithmetic corrections and verification of offer amounts by Bidders over a period of Thirty-Six months were confirmed.

Bidder Name	Rubicon Security Services CC Bidder 1		Sirka Security Services Bidder 5			Splash Investment CC Bidder 6			Independent Security Services CC Bidder 7			Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd Bidder 11		
LOT	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer Calculated Over 3 years	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer Calculated Over 3 years
Lot 1	26,992,800.00		NQ			18,753,537.60	19,210,957.20	-457,419.60	5,346,864.00		16,040,592.00	5,238,992.80		15,716,978.42
Lot 2	NQ		6,483,902.40			5,863,068.00			1,632,528.00		4,897,584.00	1,592,956.08		4,778,868.24
Lot 3	2,793,258.00		2,802,945.60			2,602,735.20			839,592.00		2,518,776.00	714,083.76		2,142,251.28
Lot 4	7,455,312.00		7,946,812.80			6,864,120.00			1,913,328.00		5,739,984.00	1,889,678.16		5,669,034.48
Lot 5	2,732,400.00		2,156,112.00			2,002,104.00			645,840.00		1,937,520.00	718,704.00		2,156,112.00
Lot 6	NQ		3,018,555.00	3,018,555.80	-0.80	2,802,945.60	2,810,232.00	-7,286.40	907,200.00		2,721,600.00	769,013.28		2,307,039.84
Lot 7	7,029,720.00		5,320,148.40			5,062,226.40			1,407,888.00		4,223,664.00	1,395,312.48		4,185,937.44
Lot 8	8,122,680.00		6,027,591.60			5,863,068.02			1,632,528.00		4,897,584.00	1,592,956.08		4,778,868.24
Lot 9	5,951,250.00		5,419,260.00			5,462,647.20			1,520,280.00		4,560,624.00	1,505,171.52		4,515,514.56
Lot 10	NQ		5,819,680.00	5,819,680.60	-0.60	5,662,857.60			1,565,136.00		4,695,408.00	2,022,638.40		6,067,915.20
Lot 11	NQ		NQ			4,661,805.60			1,286,208.00		3,858,624.00	1,285,453.44		3,856,360.32
Lot 12	5,016,852.00		4,822,413.60	4,822,437.60	-24.00	4,633,322.40			1,379,160.00		4,137,480.00	1,274,416.20		3,823,248.60
Lot 13	NQ		NQ			457,387.20			146,880.00		440,640.00	154,008.00		462,024.00
Lot 14	132,480.00		NQ			216,000.00			NQ			29,966.70		1,489,899.92
Lot 15	NQ		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			32,017.80		96,053.40
Lot 16	49,266.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			5,993.28		17,979.84
Lot 17	49,266.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			48,947.76		146,843.28
Lot 18	35,190.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			99,815.04		299,445.12
Lot 19	NQ		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			5,993.28		17,979.84
Lot 20	35,190.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			5,993.28		17,979.84
Lot 21	35,190.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			5,993.28		17,979.84
Lot 22	56,304.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			11,986.56		35,959.68
Lot 23	NQ		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			48,947.56		146,843.28
Lot 24	NQ		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			11,986.56		35,959.68
Lot 25	49,266.00		NQ			180,000.00			NQ			5,993.34		17,979.84

NONE

NONE

NONE

Bidder Name	Omambudu Security Services CC Bidder 12			Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd Bidder 20			Shikuvule Trading CC Bidder 25			Kuomboka Investments CC Bidder 28			Extra Defence Protection Services Bidder 29		
LOT	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer Calculated Over 3 years	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer Calculated Over 3 years	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer Calculated Over 3 years
Lot 1	17,370,762.00			6,393,898.80		19,181,696.40	17,556,912.00	17,639,712.00	- 82,800.00	19,896,840.00			527,798.00		19,000,737.00
Lot 2	5,331,355.20			1,952,010.00		5,856,030.00	5,613,591.60			6,408,720.00			159,747.65		5,750,915.40
Lot 3	2,301,549.12			864,349.20		2,593,047.60	2,222,335.44			3,189,456.00			74,152.00		2,669,472.00
Lot 4	6,196,210.56			2,284,452.00		6,853,356.00	5,941,496.16	5,941,529.28	- 33.12	5,916,888.00			176,755.00		6,363,180.00
Lot 5	1,755,691.20			664,884.00		1,994,652.00	2,079,108.00			2,459,160.00			54,544.50		1,963,602.00
Lot 6	2,471,086.08	2,561,086.08	- 90,000.00	930,837.60		2,792,512.80	NQ			2,235,600.00			76,362.30		2,749,042.80
Lot 7	4,566,538.08			1,686,056.40		5,058,169.20	4,446,111.00	4,694,511.60	- 248,400.60	4,791,636.00			140,389.70		5,054,029.20
Lot 8	5,277,117.00			1,952,010.00		5,856,030.00	5,362,459.20			5,439,960.00			162,635.30		5,854,870.80
Lot 9	4,938,300.00			1,819,033.20		5,457,099.60	4,552,758.00			6,527,952.00			148,838.75		5,358,195.00
Lot 10	5,292,518.40			1,885,521.60		5,656,564.80	4,960,879.20			6,364,008.00			154,293.20		5,554,555.20
Lot 11	4,332,981.60			1,553,079.60		4,659,238.80	4,038,089.76			2,278,680.00		4,806,540.00	127,020.15		4,572,754.20
Lot 12	4,091,256.00			1,541,156.40		4,623,469.20	3,564,771.84	3,843,269.64	- 278,497.80	4,724,568.00			126,236.65		4,544,519.40
Lot 13	351,673.92			132,976.80		398,930.40	385,020.00			402,408.00			12,477.50		449,190.00
Lot 14	180,000.00			NQ			NQ			1,530,000.00			NQ		
Lot 15	72,000.00			NQ			NQ			864,000.00			NQ		
Lot 16	72,000.00			NQ			162,000.00			504,000.00			NQ		
Lot 17	72,000.00			NQ			162,000.00			504,000.00			NQ		
Lot 18	72,000.00			NQ			NQ			504,000.00			NQ		
Lot 19	72,000.00			NQ			NQ			504,000.00			NQ		
Lot 20	72,000.00			NQ			NQ			504,000.00			NQ		
Lot 21	72,000.00			NQ			NQ			504,000.00			NQ		
Lot 22	108,000.00			NQ			162,000.00			1,008,000.00		504,000.00	NQ		
Lot 23	72,000.00			NQ			NQ			360,000.00		1,008,000.00	NQ		
Lot 24	108,000.00			NQ			NQ			1,008,000.00			NQ		
Lot 25	72,000.00			NQ			162,000.00			504,000.00		360,000.00	NQ		

NONE

NONE

NONE

Omeya Investment CC Bidder 30			PIS Security Services CC Bidder 31			InterAfrica Security Services CC Bidder 34			Triple One investment CC Bidder 38			Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC Bidder 39			Bertha Security Secives CC Bidder 43		
Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections None	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer calculated over 3 years	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Difference	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer calculated over 3 years	Bidder's Offer	Arrithmatic Corrections	Offer calculated over 3 years
18,919,800.00			16,415,020.80			17,757,288.00			19,478,880.00			5,870,520.00		17,611,560.00	6,326,648.64		18,979,945.92
5,398,798.05			5,009,616.00			5,812,560.00			5,961,600.00			1,799,658.00		5,398,972.00	1,979,516.16		5,938,548.48
2,421,900.00			2,209,680.00			2,383,149.60			2,455,272.00			796,122.00		2,388,366.00	795,708.00		2,387,124.00
6,185,160.00			1,952,169.60		5,856,508.80	6,077,520.00			6,492,321.00	6,492,312.00	9.00	2,103,948.00		6,311,844.00	2,176,133.04		6,528,399.12
1,863,000.00			1,700,784.00			1,833,192.00			1,892,160.00			614,790.00		1,844,370.00	657,100.80		1,971,302.40
2,608,200.00			2,378,419.20			2,144,520.00			NQ			854,496.00		2,563,488.00	940,475.52		2,821,426.56
4,697,109.45			4,324,464.00			4,704,861.60			4,766,040.00			1,547,532.00		4,642,596.00	1,689,873.10		5,069,019.31
5,398,801.05			5,002,099.20			5,434,138.40	5,438,138.40	- 4,000.00	5,511,240.00			1,787,238.00		5,361,714.00	1,822,171.32		5,466,513.96
5,047,953.75			4,667,299.20			5,071,500.00			5,128,920.00			1,676,700.00		5,030,100.00	1,658,152.80		4,974,458.40
6,285,256.92			4,836,038.40			5,254,819.20			NQ			1,962,360.00		5,887,080.00	2,325,520.80		6,976,562.40
5,024,212.92			3,984,307.20			4,338,223.20			NQ			1,718,928.00		5,156,784.00	1,573,961.76		4,721,885.28
4,766,336.46	NONE		3,758,846.40	NONE		4,281,422.40			4,338,360.00			1,413,396.00	NONE	4,240,188.00	1,499,011.20	NONE	4,497,033.60
411,201.36			340,156.80			366,683.40			NQ			122,958.00		368,874.00	1,398,700.66		4,196,101.97
NQ			172,800.00			207,000.00			201,600.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			43,200.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			36,000.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			40,320.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			43,200.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			NQ			NQ			NQ		
NQ			180,000.00			51,750.00			36,000.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			115,200.00			NQ			37,440.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			72,000.00			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			NQ			NQ			NQ		
NQ			115,200.00			NQ			NQ			NQ			NQ		
NQ			57,600.00			NQ			36,000.00			NQ			NQ		

Ranking of bidders per lot: Security Services

LOT	Ranked	Bidder Name	Bidder's Offer
LOT 1 : Windhoek Main Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	15,716,978.42
	2	Independent Security Services CC	16,040,592.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	16,415,020.80
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	17,370,762.00
	5	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	17,611,560.00
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	17,639,712.00
	7	InterAfrica Security Services CC	17,757,288.00
	8	Omeya Investment CC	18,919,800.00
	9	Bertha Security Services CC	18,979,945.92
	10	Extra Defence Protection Services	19,000,737.00
	11	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	19,181,696.40
	12	Splash Investment CC	19,210,957.20
	13	Triple One investment CC	19,478,880.00
	14	Kuomboka Investments CC	19,896,840.00
	15	Rubicon Security Services CC	26,992,800.00
LOT 2 : Neudamm Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	4,778,868.24
	2	Independent Security Services CC	4,897,584.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	5,009,616.00
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	5,331,355.20
	5	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	5,398,972.00
	6	Omeya Investment CC	5,398,798.05
	7	Shikuvule Trading CC	5,613,591.60
	8	Extra Defence Protection Services	5,750,915.40
	9	InterAfrica Security Services CC	5,812,560.00
	10	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	5,856,030.00
	11	Splash Investment CC	5,863,068.00
	12	Bertha Security Services CC	5,938,548.48
	13	Triple One investment CC	5,961,600.00
	14	Kuomboka Investments CC	6,408,720.00
	15	Sirka Security Services	6,483,902.40

LOT 3 : Oshakati Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	2,142,251.28
	2	PIS Security Services CC	2,209,680.00
	3	Shikuvule Trading CC	2,222,335.44
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	2,301,549.12
	5	InterAfrica Security Services CC	2,383,149.60
	6	Bertha Security Services CC	2,387,124.00
	7	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	2,388,366.00
	8	Omeya Investment CC	2,421,900.00
	9	Triple One investment CC	2,455,272.00
	10	Independent Security Services CC	2,518,776.00
	11	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	2,593,047.60
	12	Splash Investment CC	2,602,735.20
	13	Extra Defence Protection Services	2,669,472.00
	14	Rubicon Security Services CC	2,793,258.00
	15	Sirka Security Services	2,802,945.60
LOT 4 : Ogongo Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	5,669,034.48
	2	Independent Security Services CC	5,739,984.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	5,856,508.80
	4	Kuomboka Investments CC	5,916,888.00
	5	Shikuvule Trading CC	5,941,529.28
	6	InterAfrica Security Services CC	6,077,520.00
	7	Omeya Investment CC	6,185,160.00
	8	Omambudu Security Services CC	6,196,210.56
	9	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	6,311,844.00
	10	Extra Defence Protection Services	6,363,180.00
	11	Triple One investment CC	6,492,312.00
	12	Bertha Security Services CC	6,528,399.12
	13	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	6,853,356.00
	14	Splash Investment CC	6,864,120.00
	15	Rubicon Security Services CC	7,455,312.00
LOT 5 : Sam Nujoma Campus (Henties Bay)	1	PIS Security Services CC	1,700,784.00
	2	Omambudu Security Services CC	1,755,691.20
	3	InterAfrica Security Services CC	1,833,192.00
	4	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	1,844,370.00
	5	Omeya Investment CC	1,863,000.00
	6	Independent Security Services CC	1,937,520.00
	7	Extra Defence Protection Services	1,963,602.00
	8	Bertha Security Services CC	1,971,302.40
	9	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	1,994,652.00
	10	Splash Investment CC	2,002,104.00
	11	Shikuvule Trading CC	2,079,108.00
	12	Sirka Security Services	2,156,112.00
	13	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	2,156,112.00
	14	Kuomboka Investments CC	2,459,160.00
	15	Rubicon Security Services CC	2,732,400.00

LOT 6 : Southern Campus (Keetmanshoop)	1	InterAfrica Security Services CC	2,144,520.00
	2	Kuomboka Investments CC	2,235,600.00
	3	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	2,307,039.84
	4	PIS Security Services CC	2,378,419.20
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	2,561,086.08
	6	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	2,563,488.00
	7	Omeya Investment CC	2,608,200.00
	8	Independent Security Services CC	2,721,600.00
	9	Extra Defence Protection Services	2,749,042.80
	10	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	2,792,512.80
	11	Splash Investment CC	2,810,232.00
	12	Bertha Security Services CC	2,821,426.56
	13	Sirka Security Services	3,018,555.00
LOT 7 : Khomasdal Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	4,185,937.44
	2	Independent Security Services CC	4,223,664.00
	3	Omeya Investment CC	4,697,109.45
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	4,566,538.08
	5	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	4,642,596.00
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	4,694,511.60
	7	Omeya Investment CC	4,697,109.45
	8	InterAfrica Security Services CC	4,704,861.60
	9	Triple One investment CC	4,766,040.00
	10	Kuomboka Investments CC	4,791,636.00
	11	Extra Defence Protection Services	5,054,029.20
	12	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	5,058,169.20
	13	Splash Investment CC	5,062,226.40
	14	Bertha Security Services CC	5,069,019.31
	15	Sirka Security Services	5,320,148.40
	16	Rubicon Security Services CC	7,029,720.00
LOT 8 : Hage Geingob Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	4,778,868.24
	2	Independent Security Services CC	4,897,584.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	5,002,099.20
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	5,277,117.00
	5	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	5,361,714.00
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	5,362,459.20
	7	Omeya Investment CC	5,398,801.05
	8	InterAfrica Security Services CC	5,438,138.40
	9	Bertha Security Services CC	5,466,513.96
	10	Kuomboka Investments CC	5,439,960.00
	11	Triple One investment CC	5,511,240.00
	12	Extra Defence Protection Services	5,854,870.80
	13	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	5,856,030.00
	14	Splash Investment CC	5,863,068.02
	15	Sirka Security Services	6,027,591.60
	16	Rubicon Security Services CC	8,122,680.00

LOT 9 : Hifikepunye Pohamba Campus (Ongwediva)	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	4,515,514.56
	2	Shikuvule Trading CC	4,552,758.00
	3	Independent Security Services CC	4,560,624.00
	4	PIS Security Services CC	4,667,299.20
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	4,938,300.00
	6	Bertha Security Services CC	4,974,458.40
	7	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	5,030,100.00
	8	Omeya Investment CC	5,047,953.75
	9	InterAfrica Security Services CC	5,071,500.00
	10	Triple One investment CC	5,128,920.00
	11	Extra Defence Protection Services	5,358,195.00
	12	Sirka Security Services	5,419,260.00
	13	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	5,457,099.60
	14	Splash Investment CC	5,462,647.20
	15	Rubicon Security Services CC	5,951,250.00
	16	Kuomboka Investments CC	6,527,952.00
LOT 10 : Katima Mulilo Campus	1	Independent Security Services CC	4,695,408.00
	2	PIS Security Services CC	4,836,038.40
	3	Shikuvule Trading CC	4,960,879.20
	4	InterAfrica Security Services CC	5,254,819.20
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	5,292,518.40
	6	Extra Defence Protection Services	5,554,555.20
	7	Splash Investment CC	5,662,857.60
	8	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	5,656,564.80
	9	Sirka Security Services	5,819,680.00
	10	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	5,887,080.00
	11	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	6,067,915.20
	12	Omeya Investment CC	6,285,256.92
	13	Kuomboka Investments CC	6,364,008.00
	14	Bertha Security Services CC	6,976,562.40
LOT 11 : Rundu Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	3,856,360.32
	2	Independent Security Services CC	3,858,624.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	3,984,307.20
	4	Shikuvule Trading CC	4,038,089.76
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	4,332,981.60
	6	InterAfrica Security Services CC	4,338,223.20
	7	Extra Defence Protection Services	4,572,754.20
	8	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	4,659,238.80
	9	Splash Investment CC	4,661,805.60
	10	Bertha Security Services CC	4,721,885.28
	11	Kuomboka Investments CC	4,806,540.00
	12	Omeya Investment CC	5,024,212.92
	13	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	5,156,784.00

LOT 12 : Jose Eduardo Dos Santos Campus	2	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	3,823,248.60
	3	Shikuvule Trading CC	3,843,269.64
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	4,091,256.00
	5	Independent Security Services CC	4,137,480.00
	6	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	4,240,188.00
	7	InterAfrica Security Services CC	4,281,422.40
	8	Triple One investment CC	4,338,360.00
	9	Bertha Security Services CC	4,497,033.60
	10	Extra Defence Protection Services	4,544,519.40
	11	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	4,623,469.20
	12	Splash Investment CC	4,633,322.40
	13	Kuomboka Investments CC	4,724,568.00
	14	Extra Defence Protection Services	4,544,519.40
	15	Sirka Security Services	4,822,413.60
	16	Rubicon Security Services CC	5,016,852.00
LOT 13 : Tsumeb Centre	1	PIS Security Services CC	340,156.80
	2	Omambudu Security Services CC	351,673.92
	3	InterAfrica Security Services CC	366,683.40
	4	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	368,874.00
	5	Shikuvule Trading CC	385,020.00
	6	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	398,930.40
	7	Kuomboka Investments CC	402,408.00
	8	Omeya Investment CC	411,201.36
	9	Bertha Security Services CC	4,196,101.97
	10	Independent Security Services CC	440,640.00
	11	Extra Defence Protection Services	449,190.00
	12	Splash Investment CC	457,387.20
	13	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	462,024.00

Ranking of bidders per lot: Cash-In-Transit

LOT	Ranked	Bidder Name	Bidder's Offer
LOT 14: Windhoek Main Campus	1	Rubicon Security Services CC	132,480.00
	2	PIS Security Services CC	172,800.00
	3	Omambudu Security Services CC	180,000.00
	4	Triple One investment CC	201,600.00
	5	InterAfrica Security Services CC	207,000.00
	6	Splash Investment CC	216,000.00
	7	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	1,489,899.92
	8	Kuomboka Investments CC	1,530,000.00
LOT 15 : Neudamm Campus	2	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	3	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	4	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	96,053.40
	5	Kuomboka Investments CC	864,000.00
LOT 16 : Oshakati Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	17,979.84
	2	Triple One investment CC	36,000.00
	3	Rubicon Security Services CC	49,266.00
	4	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	162,000.00
	7	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	8	Kuomboka Investments CC	504,000.00
LOT 17 : Ogongo Campus	1	Triple One investment CC	40,320.00
	2	Rubicon Security Services CC	49,266.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	5	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	146,843.28
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	162,000.00
	7	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
LOT 18 : Sam Nujoma Campus (Henties Bay)	1	Rubicon Security Services CC	35,190.00
	2	Triple One investment CC	43,200.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	5	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	6	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	299,445.12
	7	Kuomboka Investments CC	504,000.00
LOT 19 : Southern Campus (Keetmanshoop)	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	17,979.84
	2	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	3	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	4	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	5	Kuomboka Investments CC	504,000.00

LOT 20 : Khomasdal Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	17,979.84
	2	Rubicon Security Services CC	35,190.00
	3	Triple One investment CC	36,000.00
	4	InterAfrica Security Services CC	51,750.00
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	6	PIS Security Services CC	180,000.00
	7	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	8	Kuomboka Investments CC	504,000.00
LOT 21 : Hage Geingob Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	17,979.84
	2	Rubicon Security Services CC	35,190.00
	3	Triple One investment CC	37,440.00
	4	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	5	PIS Security Services CC	115,200.00
	6	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	7	Kuomboka Investments CC	504,000.00
LOT 22 : Hifikepunye Pohamba Campus (Ongwediva)	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	35,959.68
	2	Rubicon Security Services CC	56,304.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	4	Triple One investment CC	72,000.00
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	108,000.00
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	162,000.00
	7	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	8	Kuomboka Investments CC	504,000.00
LOT 23 : Katima Mulilo Campus	1	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	2	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	3	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	146,843.28
	4	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	5	Kuomboka Investments CC	1,008,000.00
LOT 24 : Rundu Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	35,959.68
	2	Omambudu Security Services CC	108,000.00
	3	PIS Security Services CC	115,200.00
	4	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	5	Kuomboka Investments CC	1,008,000.00
LOT 25 : Jose Eduardo Dos Santos Campus	1	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	35,959.68
	2	Triple One investment CC	36,000.00
	3	Rubicon Security Services CC	49,266.00
	4	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00
	5	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00
	6	Shikuvule Trading CC	162,000.00
	7	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00
	8	Kuomboka Investments CC	360,000.00

Selected for Award of Contract:

Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) and the bidding document ITB 32.1, the Bid Evaluation Committee examined and verified sixteen (16) lowest priced bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

SECURITY SERVICES

LOT	BIDDER NUMBER	RECOMMENDED BIDDER	AMOUNT (Including VAT)	FITNESS CERTIFICATE : Y / N
Lot: 1 - Windhoek Main Campus	11	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	15,716,978.42	YES
Lot: 2 - Neudamm Campus	31	PIS Security Services CC	5,009,616.00	YES
Lot: 3 - Oshakati Campus	25	Shikuvule Trading cc	2,222,335.44	YES
Lot: 4 - Ogongo Campus	32	InterAfrica Security Services	6,077,520.00	YES
Lot: 5 - Sam Nujoma Campus - Henties Bay	30	Omeya Investment CC	1,863,000.00	YES
Lot: 6 - Southern Campus - Keetmanshoop	12	Omambudu Security Services CC	2,561,086.08	YES
Lot: 7 - Khomasdal Campus	39	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	4,642,596.00	YES
Lot: 8 - Hage Geingob Campus	43	Bertha Security Services CC	5,466,513.96	YES
Lot: 9 - Hifikepunye Pohamba Campus - Ongwediva	38	Triple One Investments CC	5,128,920.00	YES
Lot: 10 - Katima Mulilo Campus	7	Independent Security Services CC	4,695,408.00	YES
Lot: 11 - Rundu Campus	6	Splash Investment CC	4,661,805.60	YES
Lot: 12 - José Eduardo Dos Santos Campus	29	Extra Defence Protection Services CC	4,544,519.40	YES
Lot: 13 - Tsumeb Center	20	Shine Technology Solutions Pty Ltd	398,930.40	YES

Select for Award of Contract

CASH-IN-TRANSIT

LOT	BIDDER NUMBER	RECOMMENDED BIDDER	AMOUNT (Including VAT)	FITNESS CERTIFICATE : Y / N
Lot: 14 - Windhoek Main Campus	1	Rubicon Security Services CC	132,480.00	YES
Lot: 15 - Neudamm Campus	38	Triple One Investment CC	43,200.00	YES
Lot: 16 - Oshakati Campus	11	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	17,979.84	YES
Lot: 17 - Ogongo Campus	31	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00	YES
Lot: 18 - Sam Nujoma Campus - Henties Bay	12	Omambudu Security Services CC	72,000.00	YES
Lot: 19 - Southern Campus - Keetmanshoop	6	Splash Investment CC	180,000.00	YES
Lot: 20 - Khomasdal Campus	38	Triple One Investment CC	36,000.00	YES
Lot: 21 - Hage Geingob Campus	11	Namibia Protection Services Pty Ltd	17,979.84	YES
Lot: 22 - Hifikepunye Pohamba Campus - Ongwediva	1	Rubicon Security Services CC	56,304.00	YES
Lot: 23 - Katima Mulilo Campus	31	PIS Security Services CC	57,600.00	YES
Lot: 24 - Rundu Campus	12	Omambudu Security Services CC	108,000.00	YES
Lot: 25 - Campus Jose Eduardo Dos Santos Campus	25	Shikuvule Trading CC	162,000.00	YES

08 September 2022

CENTRAL PROCUREMENT BOARD CPBN CHAIRPERSON OF NAMIBIA

A. Ngavetene
Chairperson

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

PROVISION OF SECURITY AND CASH-IN-TRANSIT SERVICES FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF NAMIBIA WINDHOEK MAIN CAMPUS, NEUDAMM CAMPUS, HAGE GEINGOB CAMPUS, KHOMASDAL CAMPUS, SOUTHERN CAMPUS, SAM NUJOMA CAMPUS, OGONGO CAMPUS, OSHAKATI CAMPUS, JOSE EDUARDO DOS SANTOS, HIFIKEPUNYE POHAMBA, RUNDU CAMPUS, KATIMA MULILO AND TSUMEB CENTER FOR A PERIOD OF THIRTY-SIX (36) MONTHS (PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. NCS/ONB/CPBN–05/2019)

I/We_____hereby acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary _____ and undertake to immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: .....Company Stamp: .....