

26 May 2021

NOTICE OF BID CANCELLATION

TO : ALL BIDDERS

**SUBJECT : PROCUREMENT OF AUTOMATION OF THE ROAD FUND
ADMINISTRATION'S MASS DISTANCE CHARGES:
PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: G/OIB/CPBN-01/2020**

Following the virtual meeting held on the 17 May 2021 at 15h00 between the Central Procurement Board of Namibia (CPBN) and all the participating bidders or their representatives, the CPBN herewith notifies you that the Board resolved on 6 May 2021 to cancel the bidding process in accordance with Section 54(1)(a) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.

CPBN appreciates the time and efforts expended by the participating bidders in preparing their bids. Should there be a fresh solicitation of the bids in this respect, the advertisement shall be published in local print media and on www.cpb.org.na

Thank you for your understanding.



P.P Swartz
CHAIRPERSON





Procuring with Integrity

+264 (61) 447 700 pr@cpb.org.na www.cpb.org.na P.O. Box 23850 Mandume Park, 1 Tenent Street, Windhoek, Namibia

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

Name of Procurement : Procurement of Automation of the Road Fund Administration's Mass Distance Charges (MDC) on Behalf of Road Fund Administration (RFA)

CPBN Procurement Reference No : G/OIB/CPBN-1/2020

Date of Submission of Report : 26 May 2021

1. **Estimated Cost:** N\$56,300,550.00 (Incl. VAT)
2. **Funding Agency:** Road Fund Administration
3. **Procurement Method Used:** Open International Bidding
4. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 06 March 2020
5. **Closing Date of Submission of Bids:** 30 September 2020
6. **Date and Place of Opening of Bids:** 30 September 2020, at Procurement Management Unit, Central Procurement Board of Namibia
7. **Number of Bids Received by Closing Date:** Three (3)

8. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage								Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1 (a): Preliminary Examination	Stage 1 (b): Substantial Responsiveness	Stage 2: Technical	Stage 3: Opening of Financials	Stage 4: Financial Evaluation	Stage 5: Financial Substantial Compliance Check	Stage 6: Local Preferential Evaluation for the participation of Namibian Services Providers	Stage 7: Final Combined Score	
1	Benzel and Partners Investments (BPI) Technologies (PTY) Ltd	Compliant	Non-Compliant and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted form 2.4.10 however, no relevant information was reflected on the form as required.
2	Shine Technologies (Pty) Ltd, Picobytes and Protoclea JV	Compliant	Non-Compliant and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder does not have any experience in Financial Toll Systems as required in item C35 under Specific Experience- Key Components/ Activities (Item 2.7).



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1 (a): Preliminary Examination	Stage 1 (b): Substantial Responsiveness	Stage 2: Technical	Stage 3: Opening of Financials	Stage 4: Financial Evaluation	Stage 5: Financial Substantial Compliance Check	Stage 6: Local Preferential Evaluation for the participation of Namibian Services Providers	Stage 7: Final Combined Score
3	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd, Icell and National Toll Payment Services JV	compliant	Non-Compliant and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered
									<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit the required documentation (Letter from Bank) as per item C23, under Financial Resources (Item 2.4) BDSA-9.

9. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

Bidder Name	A. Price at Bid Opening N\$ (Excl VAT)	B. Bid Price after corrections	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference [If applicable]	Ranking
None	None	None	None	None	None



10. Best Evaluated Bid:

None.

The BEC's recommendation that all the bidders were non-responsive in terms of Section 54 (1) (a) of the Public Procurement Act (PPA), 15 of 2015 was approved by the Board in terms of Section 9 (1) (l) (i) of the PPA (15 of 2015).

26 May 2021


P.P. Swartz
CHAIRPERSON

